

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The claims are 1 to 13.

The above amendment recites in claim 1 that the adhesive layer is re-separable.

New claim 12 is based on claims 5 and 6 and does not contain the feature of a re-separable adhesive layer.

Likewise with regard to new claim 13 which is based on claims 9 and 10.

The significance of the foregoing claims will become further apparent from a consideration of the remarks of June 14, 2002.


In particular, the Murakami reference makes no mention of a re-separable adhesive layer as presently recited, in addition to the other points set forth in the response of June 14.

Favorable action is now requested.

If the Examiner has any comments or proposals for expediting prosecution, please contact the undersigned at the telephone or facsimile number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Takuma HATTORI et al.

By:   
Matthew Jacob  
Registration No. 25,154  
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/pjm  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
July 12, 2002



## VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

### IN THE CLAIMS:

*Claim 1 has been amended as follows:*

1. (Thrice Amended) An attachment film for an electronic display, which is for adjusting the quantity of transmitted light from a light source and adjusting the black and white contrast, which comprises an adhesive layer which is re-separable and contains carbon black dispersed therein and is formed on one surface of a transparent substrate.

RECEIVED  
JUL 15 2002  
OIP